

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/806,457	06/14/2001	. Christian Caspersen	0459-0577P	1421
12292 BIRCH STEW	7590 12/19/2006 ART KOLASCH & BIJ	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		LEE, SHUN K		
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2884		
	•		<u> </u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/19/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/806,457	CASPERSEN, CHRI	CASPERSEN, CHRISTIAN	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Shun Lee	2884		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 20 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on ___ ___. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. 🛛 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🖾 will not be entered, or b) 🗌 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,7,9,11,12,15,16,23-25,27-29,36,37,40,44,45 and 47-49. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: __ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: _____.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

09/806,457

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant argues that Reber et al. do not scan the disc in order to find where on the disc the tagged molecules are present since "a plurality of sites" means discrete positions known a priori in Reber et al. Examiner respectfully disagrees. It is noted that applicant states (third paragraph on pg. 11) that in Reber and Virtanen, the inventors are basically visiting predetermined addresses in a city and asking who is living there, and how the person's condition is. Thus applicant appears to agree that Reber et al. requires visiting (i.e., scanning) predetermined addresses (i.e., each site located at different predetermined discrete positions) in a city and asking who is living there (i.e., so as to identify the position of the discrete position in which marked objects are located). Therefore, sites at discrete positions known a priori does not eliminate the requirement to scan every site so as to identify the discrete position of the site in which marked objects are located. Applicant also argues that a microscope arranged in the cited Gordon or Virtanen references would have to follow the movements of a CD player arm in order to be placed at the position of the target object. Examiner respectfully disagrees. As discussed in the prior office action (pg. 4-5), the components of an optical disc reader are already arranged similar to scanning confocal laser microscopes and that only proper software is require to collect and process data obtained from optical disc reader so as to obtain scanning confocal laser microscopic images. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a microscope for viewing images of the marked objects illuminated using a light spot having a diameter between 20-150 µm on the specimen) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/806,457	CASPERSEN, CHRISTIAN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Shun Lee	2884	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

	• •	•
	nent document filed on <u>20 November 2006</u> is considered non-cor s of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document i juired.	
	WING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT DOCUMENT DOCUMENT TO THE SPECIFICATION: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other	JMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:
☐ 2. A	bstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other	
	mendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin a "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance C. Other	been eliminated. Replacement drawings
	 A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identified. Note: the status of evenumber by using one of the following status identifiers: (Orien (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented. E. Other: see claim 11. 	entifier, and as such, the individual status ry claim must be indicated after its claim iginal), (Currently amended), (Canceled), and (Withdrawn-currently amended).
☐ 5. C	Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordar	nce with 37 CFR 1.4):
For further e	xplanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121,	see MPEP § 714.
TIME PERIC	DS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
filed afte	t is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment in allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant are prected amendment must be resubmitted.	is an after-final amendment or an amendment fter-final amendment with corrections, the
correction (including amendm Quayle a	t is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from it the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a prelig a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under the filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (continued and of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction upliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	liminary amendment, a non-final amendment order 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental c), and an amendment filed in response to a
	nsions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the nodment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.	on-compliant amendment is a non-final
Ab file No	re to timely respond to this notice will result in: candonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is d in response to a Quayle action; or on-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a nendment.	
Le ₂	gal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable	Telephone No.